

Acquisition of Knowledge
Thomas Brommage
IDH 2010
Fall 2006

Q1: List the strengths of your professor.	Q2: List the Weaknesses of your professor.
<p>I personally felt I was quite lucky by ending up with this particular section/instructor, especial in comparison to things I've heard and observed about others. The professor's die-hard enthusiasm transferred to the class and helped establish an open and mutually-beneficial discussion environment. In my experience, he was very supportive and valued each student's individual assimilation of the material portraying himself as more than willing to spend extra time going over class concepts if a student wanted/needed it</p>	
<p>I like him a lot. He is very interesting person and feel has a lot to offer.</p>	
<p>Brommage is really passionate about philosophy and teaching. Brommage rocks!</p>	<p>May want to mix up styles a bit</p>
<p>Professor Brommage was very interesting. He was well acquainted with the material. The papers helped a lot! His feedback was useful!</p>	<p>I can't think of any</p>
<p>He allowed for open discussion. We were able to give out opinion and with the different viewpoints we were able to come to our own conclusion. The papers allowed for a range of ways to explore the philosopher which we studied. Mr. Brommage is very helpful and allows plenty of input. His class was enjoyable.</p>	<p>None</p>
<p>I found the entire experience immensely enjoyable, and will perhaps take future philosophy classes. A strong authoritative teacher, who was able to relate all material to students at basic level.</p>	<p>No compalints.</p>
<p>Very nice and understanding and very knowledgeable about the subject matter. The instructor has great skills of analysis and very humorous.</p>	<p>None.</p>

<p>Course: -taught us to think -studied different perspectives Instructor: -fun to listen to -makes boring material somewhat more interesting</p>	<p>Course: -Somewhat redundant if IB student (TOK) -only focused on epistemology, not other areas of philosophy Instructor: -only wrote essays and read, little variation</p>
<p>He was very energetic, and genuinely interested in the course material. He made the material easier to understand.</p>	<p>Course should focus on other areas of philosophy instead of epistemology.</p>
<p>Professor Brommage is excellent. He was very easy to listen to and made lecture subjects easy to relate to.</p>	<p>No blinding weaknesses. Overall, an excellent course.</p>
<p>He made it very interesting. Open to various questions and thoughts. I liked that we had to do only four papers. It made us to concentrate and not waste time doing too much busywork. Reading the book was difficult but he explained it well so that we could understand.</p>	<p>Instructor didn't have weaknesses. The course was good.</p>
<p>The open dialogue helped me really understand the ideas presented clearly. He knew what he was talking about for every philosopher and made them interesting.</p>	<p>I never exactly knew what he wanted out of my writing. I thought I wrote well, but he corrected some things that I didn't know why.</p>
<p>Openminded to all arguments.</p>	
<p>Knowledgeable, logical, and enthusiastic</p>	<p>Could use more creative thinking methods.</p>
<p>The instructor showed an extreme amount of knowledge and enthusiasm about the course. He called upon student insights and encouraged participation and alternate opinions. He was very willing to provide help after class and was understanding.</p>	<p>The only form of testing was essays.</p>
<p>I gave 5's for all the questions. Those are the strengths</p>	<p>Nothing comes to mind.</p>
<p>Very knowledgeable on this subject I enjoyed this class, Mt. Brommage kept it interesting.</p>	<p>None.</p>
<p>Awesome!!</p>	
<p>Brommage knows what he's talking about and has an extensive knowledge of the subject. He tries to use real-life examples to help us understand the problems presented.</p>	<p>He says "right" an average of 247.6 times per class period. Not much of a weaknesses, but he doesn't really have any as far as teaching methods and stimulation of interest in the course go.</p>

<p>The instructor demonstrated profound knowledge of the material in the course. The atmosphere of the class was without tension and facilitates easier learning. The discussions in the course were cognitive and fosters the improvement of students' thinking abilities; the instructor also attempts to intrigue the students with the material, and doing so, was able to coerce from them a desire to learn, to at the least, to question.</p>	<p>There were no apparent significant weaknesses in the course or in the instructor.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Entertaining -Good at promoting discussions and listening -knows the material -Good at relating philosophy to the present and everyday life -Promotes thinking -Brommage is awesome! 	<p>-So many views on philosophy are sometimes difficult to keep straight.</p>
<p>Instructor was animated and excited about course material. Instructor was knowledgeable</p>	<p>Course material not presented in interesting ways.</p>
<p>The instructor knows the material and has the ability to communicate that knowledge effectively. His relaxed attitude makes the class a pleasure to be in, and he allows for class discussion of topics that are brought up in the text.</p>	<p>On rare occasions, the instructor's explanations can be vague, although he will go to further lengths to get his point across. Often the class discussion will move to topics completely unrelated to the course material.</p>
<p>The instructor thoroughly discussed the readings assigned. He was always available for questions. He also demonstrated a plethora of knowledge of the course.</p>	<p>Sometimes let students go off on a tangent during discussion.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -relates the material well -gives many examples which relate to his students. -very knowledgeable -great lecturer 	<p>None. He was excellent and I would definitely take a course offered by him again.</p>
<p>Mr. Brommage was interesting and helpful. The papers allowed me to express my opinions even though I sometimes did not take part in the discussions.</p>	
<p>Very energetic! He was excited about his topic and relayed the feeling to his students.</p>	<p>Throughout the books we sort of lost the was that they were supposed to connect—but in the end it all tied together.</p>

Brommage was very knowledgeable professor of philosophy. It's obvious that he is very enthusiastic about what he teaches. I am very glad that he was my teacher of this course; I don't really care for philosophy, but he made it bearable.	
The instructor was always there to give extra help. He really showed an interest in his students success.	His use of the word "right" all the time made it hard to concentrate on what he was actually saying.
Mr. Brommage showed great knowledge in this class. He helped us understand philosophy a little more, even though it's very difficult to even grasp it to a major degree.	Mr. Brommage's weaknesses were that he could have taught more with relative ideas, comparing and contrasting to things we know very well to try and explain the subjects more.
Knows philosophy Talks a lot promotes discussion Likes Taco Bell	Doesn't wander off topic.
-Promoted class discussion -questions and discussions, most of class material I find applicable to everyday life. -challenging: challenged both critical thinking and writing skills. -more than willing to help outside of class	
Content/idea explaining concern for students	Explaining grading standards
It was an interesting class, nothing to stressful, but I feel like I learned a lot.	Essay writing sucks.
Very passionate and knowledge	None
-Awesome	Says "Right" too often
-Funny -Enthusiastic -Knowledgeable -Friendly	N/A