
PHIL 2303 (02): Critical Thinking

Fall 2022 | Thomas Brommage | Course CIP Code: 38.0101

Summative



Your Average Scores 5 Point Scale



Your Overall Mean Ratings

Ratings of Summative Questions	Raw	Adj.
D. Excellent Teacher	4.3	4.8
E. Excellent Course	4.2	4.9

Your Overall Converted Ratings

Ratings of Summative Questions	Raw	Adj.
D. Excellent Teacher		
IDEA	50	58
Discipline	50	56
Institution	50	58
E. Excellent Course		
IDEA	50	63
Discipline	50	57
Institution	49	60


32 | Students Enrolled 6 | Students Responded


18.75% | Response Rate


Converted Average Buckets Based on a Bell Curve

- 1	Much Lower	Lower	Similar	Higher	Much Higher
	(Lowest 10%)	(Next 20%)	(<i>Middle 40%</i>)	(Next 20%)	(Highest 10%)
	37 or Lower	38 - 44	45 - 55	56 - 62	63 or Higher









							onvert	d Avera	ge		
		(5 Poi	Your Average (5 Point Ratin		tudents	IDEA		Discipline		Institu	ıtion
Student Ratings of Learning on Relevant Objectives	Importance Rating	Raw	Adj.	1 or 2	4 or 5	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.
Gaining a basic understanding of the subject (e.g., factual knowledge, methods, principles, generalizations, theories)	I	3.8	4.3	17	67	43	53	44	51	44	53
Developing knowledge and understanding of diverse perspectives, global awareness, or other cultures	М	3.3	4.1	17	33	40	53	37	49	41	54
Learning to apply course material (to improve thinking, problem solving, and decisions)	ı	4.2	4.9	17	83	52	65	53	61	51	62
Developing specific skills, competencies, and points of view needed by professionals in the field most closely related to this course	М	4	4.7	17	83	48	61	52	59	47	58
Acquiring skills in working with others as a member of a team	М	2.5	3	33	17	29	36	41	47	32	41
Developing creative capacities (inventing; designing; writing; performing in art, music, drama, etc.)	М	2.8	4	33	33	38	55	42	55	36	52
Gaining a broader understanding and appreciation of intellectual/cultural activity (music, science, literature, etc.)	М	3.2	3.9	17	33	40	51	40	50	40	51
Developing skill in expressing myself orally or in writing	М	3.7	4.6	17	67	47	62	47	60	46	60
Learning how to find, evaluate, and use resources to explore a topic in depth	М	4	4.7	17	83	51	64	53	62	50	61
Developing ethical reasoning and/or ethical decision making	М	4.2	5	17	83	54	67	51	60	54	65
Learning to analyze and critically evaluate ideas, arguments, and points of view	E	4.2	4.7	17	83	53	62	51	58	53	61
Learning to apply knowledge and skills to benefit others or serve the public good	М	4	4.9	17	83	50	66	52	62	50	64
Learning appropriate methods for collecting, analyzing, and interpreting numerical information	М	3.5	3.5	17	67	45	45	55	55	46	47

		You	r Converted A	verage
Course Description	Your Average	IDEA	Discipline	Institution
Amount of coursework	2.5	34	37	35
Difficulty of subject matter	4.3	68	68	69

	Your Converted Average						
Your Average	IDEA	Discipline	Institution				
3.7	45	47	45				
3.2	38	43	41				
3.5	39	46	41				
3.2	38	45	39				
	3.7 3.2 3.5	Your Average IDEA 3.7 45 3.2 38 3.5 39	Your Average IDEA Discipline 3.7 45 47 3.2 38 43 3.5 39 46				

Formative

Teaching Essentials	Your Average	Students Rating	Suggested Action
Demonstrated the importance and significance of the subject matter	4.5	0% (1 or 2)	You employed the method with frequency typical of those teaching classes of simi-
		83% (4 or 5)	lar size and level of student motivation.
Made it clear how each topic fit into the course	4.2	17% (1 or 2)	You employed the method with frequency typical of those teaching classes of simi-
		83% (4 or 5)	lar size and level of student motivation.
Explained course material clearly and concisely	4.2	17% (1 or 2)	You employed the method with frequency typical of those teaching classes of simi-
		83% (4 or 5)	lar size and level of student motivation.
Introduced stimulating ideas about the subject	4.3	17% (1 or 2)	You employed the method with frequency typical of those teaching classes of simi-
		83% (4 or 5)	lar size and level of student motivation.
Inspired students to set and achieve goals which really challenged them	4.2	17% (1 or 2)	You employed the method with frequency typical of those teaching classes of simi-
		83% (4 or 5)	lar size and level of student motivation.

Reflective and Integrative Learning	Your Average	Students Rating	Suggested Action
Helped students to interpret subject matter from diverse perspectives (e.g., differ-	4.5	0% (1 or 2)	You employed the method more frequently than those teaching classes of similar
ent cultures, religions, genders, political views)		83% (4 or 5)	size and level of student motivation.
Encouraged students to reflect on and evaluate what they have learned	4.3	0% (1 or 2)	You employed the method with frequency typical of those teaching classes of simi-
		83% (4 or 5)	lar size and level of student motivation.
Stimulated students to intellectual effort beyond that required by most courses	4.2	17% (1 or 2)	You employed the method with frequency typical of those teaching classes of simi-
		67% (4 or 5)	lar size and level of student motivation.
Related course material to real life situations	4.3	17% (1 or 2)	You employed the method with frequency typical of those teaching classes of simi-
		83% (4 or 5)	lar size and level of student motivation.
Created opportunities for students to apply course content outside the classroom	4.2	17% (1 or 2)	You employed the method with frequency typical of those teaching classes of simi-
		83% (4 or 5)	lar size and level of student motivation.

Collaborative Learning	Your Average	Students Rating	Suggested Action
Active Learning	Your Average	Students Rating	Suggested Action
Gave projects, tests, or assignments that required original or creative thinking	4.3	17% (1 or 2)	You employed the method with frequency typical of those teaching classes of simi-
		83% (4 or 5)	lar size and level of student motivation.

Quantitative

Describe the frequency of your instructor's teaching procedures.	Hardly Ever	Occasional ly	Sometimes	Frequently	Almost Always	Ņ	DNA	SD	M
The Instructor:									
Found ways to help students answer their own questions	0% (0)	0% (0)	16.67% (1)	16.67% (1)	66.67% (4)	6	0	0.76	4.5
Helped students to interpret subject matter from diverse perspectives (e.g., different cultures, religions, genders, political views)	0% (0)	0% (0)	16.67% (1)	16.67% (1)	66.67% (4)	6	0	0.76	4.5
Encouraged students to reflect on and evaluate what they have learned	0% (0)	0% (0)	16.67% (1)	33.33% (2)	50% (3)	6	0	0.75	4.33
Demonstrated the importance and sig- nificance of the subject matter	0% (0)	0% (0)	16.67% (1)	16.67% (1)	66.67% (4)	6	0	0.76	4.5
Formed teams or groups to facilitate learning	16.67% (1)	16.67% (1)	33.33% (2)	16.67% (1)	16.67% (1)	6	0	1.29	3
Made it clear how each topic fit into the course	0% (0)	16.67% (1)	0% (0)	33.33% (2)	50% (3)	6	0	1.07	4.17
Provided meaningful feedback on stu- dents' academic performance	0% (0)	16.67% (1)	0% (0)	16.67% (1)	66.67% (4)	6	0	1.11	4.33
Stimulated students to intellectual ef- fort beyond that required by most courses	0% (0)	16.67% (1)	16.67% (1)	0% (0)	66.67% (4)	6	0	1.21	4.17
Encouraged students to use multiple resources (e.g., Internet, library holdings, outside experts) to improve understanding	16.67% (1)	16.67% (1)	16.67% (1)	0% (0)	50% (3)	6	0	1.61	3.5
Explained course material clearly and concisely	16.67% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	16.67% (1)	66.67% (4)	6	0	1.46	4.17

Describe the frequency of your instructor's teaching procedures.	Hardly Ever	Occasional ly	Sometimes	Frequently	Almost Always	N	DNA	SD	M
The Instructor:									
Related course material to real life situations	0% (0)	16.67% (1)	0% (0)	16.67% (1)	66.67% (4)	6	0	1.11	4.33
Created opportunities for students to apply course content outside the classroom	16.67% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	16.67% (1)	66.67% (4)	6	0	1.46	4.17
Introduced stimulating ideas about the subject	0% (0)	16.67% (1)	0% (0)	16.67% (1)	66.67% (4)	6	0	1.11	4.33
Involved students in hands-on projects such as research, case studies, or real life activities	16.67% (1)	0% (0)	33.33% (2)	33.33% (2)	16.67% (1)	6	0	1.25	3.33
Inspired students to set and achieve goals which really challenged them	16.67% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	16.67% (1)	66.67% (4)	6	0	1.46	4.17
Asked students to share ideas and experiences with others whose backgrounds and viewpoints differ from their own	16.67% (1)	0% (0)	16.67% (1)	16.67% (1)	50% (3)	6	0	1.46	3.83
Asked students to help each other understand ideas or concepts	16.67% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	83.33% (5)	6	0	1.49	4.33
Gave projects, tests, or assignments that required original or creative thinking	16.67% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	83.33% (5)	6	0	1.49	4.33
Encouraged student-faculty interaction outside of class (e.g., office visits, phone calls, email)	16.67% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	16.67% (1)	66.67% (4)	6	0	1.46	4.17
Describe your progress on:	No Apparent Progress	Slight Progress	Moderate Progress	Substantia I Progress	Exceptiona I Progress	N	DNA	SD	M
Gaining a basic understanding of the subject (e.g., factual knowledge, methods, principles, generalizations, theories)	16.67% (1)	0% (0)	16.67% (1)	16.67% (1)	50% (3)	6	0	1.46	3.83
Developing knowledge and understand- ing of diverse perspectives, global awareness, or other cultures	16.67% (1)	0% (0)	50% (3)	0% (0)	33.33% (2)	6	0	1.37	3.33
Learning to <i>apply</i> course material (to improve thinking, problem solving, and decisions)	16.67% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	16.67% (1)	66.67% (4)	6	0	1.46	4.17
Developing specific skills, competencies, and points of view needed by profes- sionals in the field most closely related to this course	16.67% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	33.33% (2)	50% (3)	6	0	1.41	4
Acquiring skills in working with others as a member of a team	33.33% (2)	0% (0)	50% (3)	16.67% (1)	0% (0)	6	0	1.12	2.5
Developing creative capacities (invent- ing; designing; writing; performing in art, music, drama, etc.)	33.33% (2)	0% (0)	33.33% (2)	16.67% (1)	16.67% (1)	6	0	1.46	2.83
Gaining a broader understanding and appreciation of intellectual/cultural activity (music, science, literature, etc.)	16.67% (1)	0% (0)	50% (3)	16.67% (1)	16.67% (1)	6	0	1.21	3.17
Developing skill in expressing myself orally or in writing	16.67% (1)	0% (0)	16.67% (1)	33.33% (2)	33.33% (2)	6	0	1.37	3.67
Learning how to find, evaluate, and use resources to explore a topic in depth	16.67% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	33.33% (2)	50% (3)	6	0	1.41	4
Developing ethical reasoning and/or eth- ical decision making	16.67% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	16.67% (1)	66.67% (4)	6	0	1.46	4.17
Learning to <i>analyze</i> and <i>critically evaluate</i> ideas, arguments, and points of view	16.67% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	16.67% (1)	66.67% (4)	6	0	1.46	4.17
Learning to apply knowledge and skills to benefit others or serve the public good	16.67% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	33.33% (2)	50% (3)	6	0	1.41	4
Learning appropriate methods for col- lecting, analyzing, and interpreting nu- merical information	16.67% (1)	0% (0)	16.67% (1)	50% (3)	16.67% (1)	6	0	1.26	3.5
The Course: On the next two items, compare this course with others you have taken at this institution.	Much Less than Most Courses	Less than Most Courses	About Average	More than Most Courses	Much More than Most Courses	Ņ	DNA	SD	M
Amount of coursework	16.67% (1)	33.33% (2)	33.33% (2)	16.67% (1)	0% (0)	6	0	0.96	2.5
Difficulty of subject matter	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	66.67% (4)	33.33% (2)	6	0	0.47	4.33

For the following items, choose the option that best corresponds to your judgment.	Definitely False	More False than True	In Between	More True than False		<u>N</u>	DNA	SD	M
As a rule, I put forth more effort than other students on academic work.	0% (0)	0% (0)	33.33% (2)	66.67% (4)	0% (0)	6	0	0.47	3.67
I really wanted to take this course regardless of who taught it.	0% (0)	16.67% (1)	50% (3)	33.33% (2)	0% (0)	6	0	0.69	3.17
When this course began I believed I could master its content.	0% (0)	0% (0)	66.67% (4)	16.67% (1)	16.67% (1)	6	0	0.76	3.5
My background prepared me well for this course's requirements.	0% (0)	33.33% (2)	33.33% (2)	16.67% (1)	16.67% (1)	6	0	1.07	3.17
Overall, I rate this instructor an excellent teacher.	16.67% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	83.33% (5)	6	0	1.49	4.33
Overall, I rate this course as excellent.	16.67% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	16.67% (1)	66.67% (4)	6	0	1.46	4.17

Qualitative

Comments -

• Dr. Brommage makes difficult course content accessible and easy to understand for students of all academic backgrounds. If you are struggling in class, he makes himself accessible (office hours, after class, etc) and is happy to help explain He always encourages group discussion and using higher-level reasoning. Dr. Brommage is exceptionally knowledgeable, patient, and witty. I would highly recommend him to anyone seeking a challenging, yet enjoyable class which will reward them with the ability to apply critical thinking in the real world.